

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION	<input type="checkbox"/> PHONE CALL <input type="checkbox"/> DISCUSSION <input type="checkbox"/> FIELD TRIP <input type="checkbox"/> CONFERENCE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER (SPECIFY)   Comments	
	(Record of item checked above)	
TO: Cathy Moyik, SAM	FROM: Robert Roesener	DATE 11/15/94
	<i>Robert Roesener</i>	TIME
SUBJECT Comments on the Haller Testing Laboratories, Inc. Site Inspection (CERCLIS ID No. NJD986578284) submitted by the New Jersey DEP.		
SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION One of the attachments referenced in the table of contents and the narrative of the report is missing; attachment JJ. Also the attachment cover page for attachment KK is at the end of the attachment instead of preceding the attachment.  There is no map for the 4 mile target distance limit for the ground water pathway. Since the site is scoring on potentially contaminated ground water targets, a map of the target distance limit is necessary. There may be an aquifer discontinuity eliminating some of the targets which would impact the final site score.  The 3rd paragraph under Part II refers to 1,773 tons of waste manifested off site in 1990. Are there similar records documenting hazardous materials coming on to the site? This information could be used to score Hazardous Waste Quantity using tier A or B.  The report did not mention that there was a background soil sample taken. To document an area of observed contamination a background sample needs to be taken. There may be attribution problems also. Are the substances found in the soil attributable to activities at the site. The substance used to score the toxicity/mobility factor in the ground water pathway was cadmium, and the activities at the site did not require cadmium and there was no discussion of where the cadmium came from.  The state should refine their conclusions section to more precisely state what the recommended action should be. The discussion should be tailored to meet our program requirements. For example, the conclusion should state whether the site is Higher Priority, Lower Priority, NFRAP, etc. and the discussion should justify the recommendation.		
CONCLUSIONS, ACTION TAKEN OR REQUIRED		
INFORMATION COPIES TO:		

